

Comments: To all my reply to Peter.

Peter,

Just a wee reply to some of the information you posted.... Great to hear you all had a nice private meeting amongst the selected few, it would have been fairer if a few of the rank and file for the petitioners had been present at it.

Any how on some of your points hidden among a lot of jargon

On the name of the Museum, I and most of my co petitioners feel the Trustees have let us and the Regiment down badly....why could we have not done the same as the Gordons...if you could raise so much money for the changes ,why could it have not been possible to raise money for the funding, instead of letting the MOD call all the shots and conditions to what OUR Museum should be called, do you all think you do not have a loyalty to the Jock, and those under your command at one time.

These are the very men now who feel betrayed by the very actions taken, and what ever way you try to dress it up, it was wrong, you all know it, we all know it, and since you come over in your letter as nothing will be done to change it....we will have to find another way to crack the nut so to speak.

As for the condescending remark of me designing and producing a sign for YOUR Museum, well I have painted my last sign for the Regiment , do you remember I was once the Curator to the Museum, and more than just a handyman at your beck and call.

You know as well as I ,that the two function rooms in the Museum will not be used much as you have tried to imply.....it is just a waste of valuable exhibition space, and gives the Museum that air of an Officers mess, a meeting place for a few, and not the many.

I strongly disagree with your remark in point 2....When I worked at the Museum, many visitors would spend hours just even reading the names on the medal displays.....other Military Museums commented on what a great idea to display all medals, and donors of these medals felt very proud these medals of their loved ones were on display for all to see. To point 3, many have messaged me , who have visited the new Museum, and remarked how difficult it is to read the information on the very few exhibits now on show , you say the old layout was cluttered, but this I found people liked , there was so much to see, and although Col Fairrie's information boards were not perfect, they were far easier and more informative than those white on grey panels they now have.

As for point 4.these blunders should have been check long before they were put on display, how totally unprofessional is that, with all these experts they employed you would think this should not happen.

As for 5, as I have already said on this one, in my case if I could afford to spend time at the Museum, and volunteer my services to correct blunders etc, I would , but I have to work for a living, as I am sure most who signed the petition have.

As for the sale off of many items, and I know you say it was all done correctly, I myself found this all very tacky, the ebay items , well it just did not seem right, a point a lot of petitioners picked up on.

On the last two points....if the Museum and Trustees involved the rank and file in what is going on, and yes why not on facebook, you will be able to contact the men on that even though they are all over the world....that is what I did before I started this petition, and it works, 1016 will prove that.

The reserve collection must be massive, as there is not much on display....and on the temporary displays, if the one photographed in [Cabarfeidh.com](http://Cabarfeidh.com) on WW1 is anything to go on

, well I thought it very basic.

And finally , we all want to keep the name of the Regiment alive, and in the memory of all, do we really ask for that much, a Museum we can all be proud of called THE QUEEN'S OWN HIGHLANDERS MUSEUM

Kelvin Hunter

Queen's Own Highlander....always

13. December 2013